

**MINUTES OF DURLSTON HEAD TO HURST SPIT SMP2
ELECTED MEMBERS FORUM MEETING #07
BOURNEMOUTH TOWN HALL - MONDAY 29TH MARCH 2010**

Present:

Councillor Robert Lawton (RL)	Bournemouth Borough Council (Chair)
Dave Harlow (DH)	Bournemouth Borough Council
Geoff Tyler (GT)	Bournemouth Borough Council (Minutes)
Simon Hills (SH)	Bournemouth Borough Council
Councillor Fran Carpenter (FC)	New Forest District Council
Steve Cook (SC)	New Forest District Council
Steve Woolard (SW)	Christchurch Borough Council
Councillor Mike Duckworth (MD)	Christchurch Borough Council
Councillor Andrew Starr (AS)	Purbeck District Council
Mike Goater (MG)	Purbeck District Council
Stuart Terry (ST)	Poole Borough Council
Neil Watson (NW)	Environment Agency
Tim Kermode (TK)	Environment Agency
Sue Burton (SB)	Natural England
Tony Flux (TF)	National Trust
Tara-Leigh Eggiman (TE)	Royal Haskoning
Richard Edmonds (RE)	Dorset County Council

Apologies:

Councillor Mark Anderson (MA)	Bournemouth Borough Council
Councillor Peter Adams (PA)	Poole Borough Council
Dave Robson (DR)	Poole Borough Council
Andrew Bradbury (AB)	New Forest District Council

Item No	Action
1.	
1.1	
2.	
2.1	
2.2	SW
2.3	

<p>3</p> <p>3.1</p> <p>3.2</p> <p>3.3</p> <p>3.4</p> <p>3.5</p> <p>3.6</p> <p>4</p> <p>4.1</p> <p>4.2</p> <p>4.3</p> <p>4.4</p> <p>5</p> <p>5.1</p> <p>5.2</p> <p>5.3</p>	<p>Approval of CSG Comments on Public Consultation Questionnaire</p> <p>It was noted that the public consultation had produced 119 responses.</p> <p>DH explained that in respect of Comment 106, the CSG would be having another look at changing the policy for Rockley Caravan Park from 'Managed Re-alignment' to 'No Active Intervention'.</p> <p>It was noted that the caravan park leased the site from the Council and that they could maintain the existing structures at their own expense. TE said that some detailed text could be added to indicate that there was some local intent to manage in the short term.</p> <p>SB said that Natural England would be concerned about the more rapid erosion of the heathland and that the matter was complicated and a long term strategy was needed for the whole area.</p> <p>DH pointed out in respect of Comment 113 that the wording of the document had changed slightly.</p> <p>SB expressed concern the MR policy at Brownsea Island Lagoon would require compensatory habitat. The best policy would be NAI. The issue is complicated as it is an artificial structure. The lagoon also has an important function being a Special Protection Area for birds.</p> <p>NQRG Comments</p> <p>DH informed the meeting that the NQRG had made comments on the SMP and had requested various actions. Responses had been prepared by the CSG which would be reported back to the Review Groups this week.</p> <p>Further changes had also been made following the teleconference.</p> <p>Two separate worksheets had been prepared; one showing the policy issues and the other showing the presentational issues. Both are to be posted on the website.</p> <p>RL confirmed the meeting's agreement to proceed accordingly.</p> <p>Adoption Process</p> <p>DH explained that a flow diagram had been produced setting out the various actions involved in the signing-off process.</p> <p>Following their consideration of the CSG's responses, the NQRG will reply and the final document incorporating any further proposed changes will be considered by the CSG and EMF at their meetings in early June.</p> <p>The support of interested groups such as Poole Harbour Commissioners and the County Councils would be sought and Natural England would be asked to endorse the final document.</p>	
---	---	--

5.4	DH said the adoption process is fairly complex with the NQRG recommending the document's approval before it is forwarded to the EA's Regional Director in the autumn for signing off.	
5.5	A leaflet is to be produced by the CSG after the contract with Royal Haskoning comes to an end in May/June.	
6	Any Other Business	
6.1	NW confirmed that during the period of DH's forthcoming leave, all correspondence on the SMP should be forwarded to SH.	All to note
6.2	TE said that during the period of her leave she would be contactable via email.	
6.3	RE said that DCC was pursuing the 'Pathfinder' project in which DEFRA were making funds available for communities to respond to climate change and to help protect the environment from the effects of a catastrophic event. A plan was being developed to resolve issues before they occurred.	
6.4	MG said that Purbeck Council were looking at an SSSI and resolving a problem on government funding.	
6.5	RL drew attention to a letter to Steve Rendell of the Environment Agency about the Poole Bay strategy and said he would provide copies if required. A response was awaited but if the answer was unhelpful the support of the local MPs would be sought. NW pointed out that the Strategy Study related to both Poole Bay and Poole Harbour. DH said that Christchurch should be invited because of the effect on Hengistbury Head. MD asked if he could be kept informed.	NW?
6.6	DH had received an email about cliff monitoring at Hengistbury Head. The area showed very little change but DH felt that the monitoring should be carried out annually. NW explained why it was necessary and asked if it could be referred to the strategic regional beach monitoring programme or whether the EA should continue to undertake it. DH said he would like to see it continued and would be happy for the EA to do it. TE said that it could be changed back to an SMP-wide action. TK said it would be sensible to have a comprehensive monitoring regime and to include it in the Action Plan. This course of action was agreed with varying regularity and within the ownership of the Coastal Group.	
7	Date of Next Meeting	
7.1	<p>The next meeting will be held at 3.00 p.m. on Monday 7th June 2010 in 'The Royal Hampshire Regiment' Room in Bournemouth Town Hall. This will follow the Client Steering Group meeting held earlier in the afternoon.</p> <p>(This meeting was subsequently postponed to 5th July 2010 and was held at Bournemouth Central Library).</p>	