

SMP2 Meeting of Client Steering Group (#24)
At Bournemouth Town Hall, "Willows" Room
Monday 18th January 2010, 1300 lunch for 1330 start

AGENDA

1. Apologies

2. To approve minutes of the last meeting

CSG Minutes 23 - 14 12 09

KSG Minutes 02 - 26 11.09

3. Action Items arising from previous minutes

4. Matters Arising since 14th Dec

Studland - northward shift of H6/H5 boundary -SB & TF

Public consultation spreadsheet to be on the website?

QRG- track changes - Jenny Buffrey email of 7 January

5. Royal Haskoning - progress report

6. AOB

7. Dates of next meetings:

18 January 2010 CSG#24 Bournemouth Town Hall, "Willows" Room

22 February 2010 CSG#25 @ 0930, EMF#6 @ 1400, BLC SCITT Room 4

29 March 2010 CSG#26 Location to be determined

3 May 2010 CSG#27 Location to be determined

**MINUTES OF DURLSTON HEAD TO HURST SPIT SMP2
CLIENT STEERING GROUP MEETING #24
WILLOWS ROOM, BOURNEMOUTH TOWN HALL - MONDAY 18th JANUARY 2010**

Present:

Dave Harlow (DH)	Bournemouth Borough Council (Chair)
Simon Hills (SH)	Bournemouth Borough Council (Minutes)
Andy Bradbury	New Forest District Council
Steve Cook (SC)	New Forest District Council
Mike Goater (MG)	Purbeck District Council
Stuart Terry (ST)	Poole Borough Council
David Robson (DR)	Poole Borough Council
Steve Woolard (SW)	Christchurch Borough Council
Neil Watson (NW)	Environment Agency (South West)
Tim Kermode (TK)	Environment Agency (South East)
Nick Reed (NR)	Environment Agency
Richard Edmonds (RE)	Dorset County Council
Tony Flux (TF)	National Trust
Tara-Leigh Eggiman (TE)	Royal Haskoning

Apologies:

Sue Burton (SB)	Natural England
Vanessa Straker (VS)	English Heritage
Geoff Turnbull (GT)	Bournemouth Borough Council
Geoff Tyler (GTy)	Bournemouth Borough Council
Peter Ferguson (PF)	New Forest District Council
Andrew Ramsbottom (AR)	Poole Harbour Commissioners

Item No.	Action
1	Introduction
1.1	DH explained that the meeting would be devoted mainly to consideration of the comments received from the public consultation. This would also be the main business of the meeting on 22 nd February 2010 with any contentious comments being referred to the Elected Members Forum in the afternoon meeting on 22 nd February. DH said that it may be necessary to vote on some of the more difficult points.
2	Minutes of Key Stakeholders Meeting on 26th November 2009
2.1	The revised minutes of the Key Stakeholders meeting on the 26 th November 2009 were agreed.
2.2	The v2 minutes of the Client Steering Group meeting on 14 th December 2009 were agreed.
3	Action Items Arising from CSG Meeting on 14th December 2009
3.1	Item 2.6 - The changes to the KSG minutes were made and circulated, before being agreed.
3.2	Item 4.2 - A list of where the hard copies of the SMP are stored is now available on the website.

3.3	Item 4.5 - DH has re-profiled the budget and submitted an invoice for the SMP.									
3.4	Item 6.2 - TE to send a copy of Greg Guthrie's presentation in .pdf (for website) and powerpoint (for SMP presentations) formats.	TE								
3.5	Item 6.3 - Any appropriate photographs from the public meetings which do not identify members of the public to be put on the website.	ALL								
3.6	Item 11.6 - DH and TE to continue chasing English Heritage comments from VS.	DH/TE/ VS								
3.7	Item 12.3 - TE has e-mailed a copy of the Royal Haskoning Action Plan database to Sarah Austin at Poole Borough Council.									
3.8	Item 13.1 - DH confirmed that he was still progressing the overarching legal agreement.	DH								
4	Matters Arising since the previous Meeting									
4.1	<p>The National Trust (TF) proposed that the boundary between H5 and H6 was moved to 100m north of Knoll beach café. This would allow the café a short term policy of Managed Realignment rather than No Active Intervention, thereby giving the National Trust flexibility in relocating the infrastructure. The policy change would allow for the management of the erosion to keep the assets useable for another 10 - 15 years. It was noted that the beach huts and boat park to the south would then be included in this policy, although it was thought that this would not affect them since it was in the short term only with No Active Intervention again thereafter. Alternatives of a separate cell or clarifying text in the policy was discussed, before a vote was taken by all the voting members present, with the result:</p> <table> <tr> <td>Move unit boundary northward:</td> <td>6 votes</td> </tr> <tr> <td>New sub-cell unit:</td> <td>0 votes</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Statement in the text:</td> <td>4 votes</td> </tr> <tr> <td>No change:</td> <td>0 votes</td> </tr> </table> <p>The change to move the boundary northwards was therefore approved. <i>(Post meeting note: Natural England are okay with this decision, although are likely to have more comments on it at the strategy stage.)</i></p>	Move unit boundary northward:	6 votes	New sub-cell unit:	0 votes	Statement in the text:	4 votes	No change:	0 votes	
Move unit boundary northward:	6 votes									
New sub-cell unit:	0 votes									
Statement in the text:	4 votes									
No change:	0 votes									
4.2	DH proposed that the "Public Consultation Responses" spreadsheet should be made available to view on the restricted section of the website. It was agreed that this would be useful. SH to e-mail copy to Sarah Austin of Poole Borough Council to upload.	SH								
4.3	The Quality Review Group have asked that any changes to the document are made using "track changes", so that they can see what has changed since the draft copy. Royal Haskoning have started doing this since the e-mail received on the 7 th January, although some changes have been made before this to the Habitat Regulations Assessment and Strategic Environmental Assessment documents. DH and TE to reply to Jenny Buffrey that they can do it, although there is a lot of work involved in this now.	DH/TE								
4.4	It was decided that the Environment Agency's national "Action Plan" template would be followed rather than the Royal Haskoning live version. NW to obtain this from Jenny Buffrey and forward to DH, TK and TE as soon as	NW								

	possible.	
4.5	TE stated that they have completed the changes requested by Natural England to the Appropriate Assessment and the Habitat Regulations Assessment and have sent them back for any further comments. The RSPB and the Environment Agency's National Environmental Assessment Service (NEAS) are still to comment - NW to chase. AB noted that specific numbers from the assessment of habitat lost and the possibilities for creation are particularly useful. This may add to the programme and cost.	NW/TE
4.6	DR noted that the defences at Rockley are privately funded with a condition imposed on the leaseholders to maintain them. ST to look at National Guidance and submit new text for revision. This may include a statement that the leaseholders would need to obtain all other permissions as required for any works to be undertaken.	ST
4.7	DR noted that the erosion map for Holes Bay, Poole Harbour was unrealistic. AB said that changes could be made on the maps without affecting the policy for the area, although it may affect the economics. DR to liaise with Sam Cope of New Forest District Council to make the changes. It was asked and noted that no further changes to the erosion maps were desired by the CSG.	DR
4.8	MG repeated his request for the N1/N2 boundary to be moved further north to align with Tanville Ledges. He has mapped the admiralty charts onto the OS Maps, and noted that the boundary is in the incorrect position. The CSG decided that MG is to change the angle of the line and send to TLE. This will not affect the economic appraisal.	MG
4.9	It was noted that the tidal limit set for the Rivers Frome and Piddle must follow a similar policy as those of Lytchett Bay and Sherford River. NW to check with the Catchment Flood Management Plan boundaries and send through revised SMP boundaries for the Rivers Piddle, Frome and Sherford.	NW
5	Royal Haskoning - Progress Report	
5.1	TE stated that the meeting had already covered the progress made over the past month.	
5.2	TE said that Greg Guthrie would attend the meetings on 22 nd February 2010.	
6	Any Other Business	
6.1	RE said that a Steering Group and Executive Group had been established to consider the allocation of the 'Pathfinder' funding.	
6.2	SW noted that Cllr. Lofts of Christchurch Borough Council will bring forward a motion to the council meeting tomorrow concerning the Managed Realignment policy at Mundeford and Mundeford Sandbank, and the first paragraph on page 4.3.59. He is requesting a Hold the Line policy as per Bournemouth and Poole. TE to take revised text and consider. This will need to be raised to the Elected Members Forum.	TE
6.3	SW noted that there are numerous landfill sites around Christchurch Harbour, including the green space at Mundeford which is believed to be household waste. SW to send a copy of the information to NW and TE who will discuss it with Greg Guthrie	SW/TE

6.4	MG noted that he had received a letter from the Ridge Residents Association, which will be considered at the next meeting.	
6.5	NR noted that the Coastal Erosion maps for this area are to be published in December 2010. He would like to visit and discuss these with the Local Authorities on an individual basis.	
6.6	NR noted that the Communications Team were having a final meeting on Thursday. It was agreed that they deserved a vote of thanks from the CSG for all the work completed to a very high standard which received many positive comments. DH to pass on.	NR
6.7	TF noted that the word 'adaption' in the SMP document should be changed to 'adaptation' or another suitable replacement.	TE
6.8	AB requested that a draft of the action plan be circulated before the next meeting. TE to try and circulate before.	TE
7	Public consultation	
7.1	After a short break, the comments received from the public consultation were discussed. A record of the decisions made is available on the spreadsheet "Public Consultation Responses".	
7.2	It was noted that of the 24 comments discussed at the previous meeting, 10 of these resulted in changes to the SMP or other actions. Of these, 7 were by CSG members, with the public comments for change including the Wareham Tidal Banks, former landfill sites in Christchurch Harbour, and the uploading of the public display consultation boards to the website. There are another 43 comments to discuss at this meeting.	
7.3	Royal Haskoning noted that they were concerned at this high number of changes still being requested by the CSG. AB noted that the draft consultation period had been relatively short, resulting in more changes being discovered now. The CSG decided that the document should be as correct as possible since it will be used for 10 years, although the requested CSG changes and their effects should be considered carefully before being made.	
7.4	The CSG requested Royal Haskoning "track changes" and keep a log to ensure that it will be easier to understand the changes made to the SMP following the public consultation period.	
7.5	The CSG asked that the colour scheme on the "Public Consultation Responses" spreadsheet be changed to neutral colours rather than green and red.	
8	Date of Next Meeting	
8.1	The next CSG meeting is to be held at 9.30 am on Monday 22 nd February 2010 in the SCITT Room at Bournemouth Learning Centre.	